

The Movers and Fakers of the Whistleblowing Industry

How misinformation is used to capitalize on

Truth-Tellers

By Eileen Chubb ©

Across the world a global industry has sprung up around the area of Whistleblowing. This industry makes hundreds of millions from both whistleblowing compliance and legal representation. You may think at first sight that this is not a problem; but when you expose the rotten under belly of this industry, some very concerning facts come to light. If this industry was about anything other than whistleblowing, then perhaps the ruthless operating tactics could be overlooked.

However, this industry and the operators within it are;

- .Directly influencing whistleblowing law in both the US and the UK

- . Setting a completely false narrative with the intention to deliberately mislead

- . Distracting attention away from the fact they are making hundreds of millions in profits

- . A web of interconnected individuals, including compliance companies, lawyers, whistleblowing support Organisations, academics and politicians are all implicated in this false narrative

Most crucially whilst this profiteering might be totally acceptable in a business selling donuts for example or some other commodity; it is completely unacceptable in the area of whistleblowing because tens of thousands of lives depend on whistleblowers speaking out. Many more lives are reliant on whistleblowers to stop abuse, expose abuse of power

in law enforcement and the judicial system, the environment and much other wrongdoing. Potentially the issue of whistleblowing can impact directly on every single human being on this planet at any time.

This is far too serious an issue to allow unscrupulous, misleading disinformation tactics to flourish for the purpose of making millions in profit at the cost of putting lives at risk.

Whistleblowing law should not be influenced in anyway by any individual who fully intends to gain from a proposed law; a proposed law which they bought and paid for in the first place.

We have to take the profits out of whistleblowing if the public's best interests are ever to take precedence, as it stands whistleblowers and most importantly those they speak out for, have been well and truly side-lined by the "Movers and Fakers" currently calling all the shots.

Any member of the public could easily be forgiven for reading the following article and thinking it was relevant to whistleblowing and that the news outlet was "***independent***" as stated by

<https://whistleblowersblog.org/exclusives/wnn-exclusive-sec-foia-documents-reveal-big-law-defense-firms-are-confidentially-representing-dodd-frank-whistleblowers/>

However, this is not the case,

Firstly, this story is implying that "Whistleblower Network News" has obtained exclusive FOI information that is relevant to contradicting Professor Alexander Platts' report. Note* Professor Platts' work has blown wide open the US whistleblowing system and how a small group of well-connected US whistleblowing law firms have likely extracted hundreds of millions in profits from the US whistleblowing programmes. How these law firms have huge influence on the law and

how many former staff from the regulator have gone on to work for the same firms.

However, a closer look at the FOI material which forms the basis for the “Whistleblower Network News” story features law firms not referred to in Professor Platts report, with the clear inference that his report is flawed as a result.

However, the FOI data relied on for “The Whistleblower News Network” assertion refers to law firms who have at some point represented a whistleblower on a **single** occasion. Professor Platts work is about “Repeat Players” i.e., a small group of law firms who have consistently made successful claims, firms who are well connected via the revolving door and have directly influenced the law they gain from.

Therefore, my first questions were when reading the above article was to ask **why** this news outlet needed to put out disinformation and what was their motive for doing so.

The Whistleblower Network News describes itself in the below as “*An independent news Outlet*” However you have to read on for some time before discovering who is behind this alleged independent news outlet,

Of the whole team involved those with direct Links to **The National Whistleblower Centre** (which I will deal with separately) Those with direct links to the law firm **Kohn, Kohn, Colapinto**.

The independent Whistleblower news network team consists of 12 individuals

David K Colapinto Founder of Kohn Kohn Colapinto law firm

Stephen M Kohn of Kohn, Kohn Colapinto law firm

Victoria Turner former intern at Kohn, Kohn, Colapinto

Ann Popovitch Former intern at Kohn, Kohn Colapinto
Siri Nelson policy council Kohn, Kohn, Colapinto
Mary jane Wilmot Partner at Kohn, Kohn, Colapinto
Jane Turner Who works for the National Whistleblower
Centre which was founded by, Kohn, kohn,Calapinto
Extract from professors Platts report KCC is Kohn,
Kohn and Colapinto.

If The Whistleblowing Network news called itself Kohn, Kohn Colapinto news network and the National Whistleblower Centre called its self the Kohn, Kohn Colapinto Centre; then that's fine, but these organisations imply they are independent when clearly, they are not anything of the kind.

Extract from Professor Platts Report

“See National Whistleblower Center, <https://www.whistleblowers.org/misson-history/> (noting the organization is a “tax-exempt, non-partisan organization based in Washington DC, dependent on public support for its work advocating for the rights of whistleblower” but also that the organization was founded by the three founding partners of KKC LLP, a private for-profit law firm that specializes in SEC whistleblower cases); See National Whistleblower Center, Trustees, <https://www.whistleblowers.org/team-categories/nwldef-trustees/> (listing as the four trustees for the NWC the four partners of KKC LLP) Although the NWC website says it is “committed to being transparent and accountable in all the work we do,” the organization has a policy of not disclosing where its funding comes from. <https://www.whistleblowers.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/NWC-Donor-Privacy.pdf>; <https://www.whistleblowers.org/transparency-accountability/>.

183

The links are extensively documented between both Constantine Cannon, Kohn, Kohn, Colapinto and the organisation WBUK as well as the APPG on Whistleblowing who have formally hosted individuals representing these very same organisations and WBUK

has received funding from both firms, funding which WBUK have not declared as they only produce filleted accounts. Hence a complete lack of transparency which we have raised in vain with the Parliamentary Commissioner for Standards and the APPG inquiry conducted by the Select Committee on Standards, whose subsequent published report censored all our robustly evidenced concerns from the public record.

Just some of the many available Links between Kohn Kohn, Colapinto and WBUK APPG below

<https://www.whistleblowers.org/news/nwcs-stephen-kohn-to-be-featured-in-uk-panels-discussing-global-whistleblower-law/>

https://www.linkedin.com/posts/georgina-halford-hall-52135065_whistleblowers-uk-4-5-grays-inn-square-activity-6932693431110541312-gNjm

We urge everyone to read Professor Platts Report.

[The Whistleblowing Industrial Complex](#)

Also my report [The Transatlantic Whistleblowing Gravy Train](#)

This charity has been maliciously and viciously attacked by individuals in parliament because we have highlighted concerns that affect our beneficiaries. We will continue to call out the truth and challenge the lies because that is the right thing to do.

Eileen Chubb