

THE HALFORD HALL-MARK OF DECEIT

By Eileen Chubb © Sept 2019

The true agenda of WBUK and the Parliamentary APPG on Whistle-blowing

On the 3rd of July 2019 Georgina Halford Hall of WBUK Made the below statements.

These statements are taken directly from a YouTube video of the event, which I saw for the first time yesterday. A link to this video is included on page 7 of this document.

Brief Background Information.

For those who are unaware of the background, WBUK is an organisation masquerading as a whistle-blower support organisation in order to promote a system that will bring lucrative financial gain to themselves, their funders and sponsors who are,

Protect PCAW Income, Whistleblowing compliance policy
Constantine Cannon Us law Firm income bounty hunting
Navex Global income Whistle-blower compliance.

The secretariat of the Whistle-blowing APPG is WBUK Georgina Halford Hall, the secretariat role is funded by Constantine Cannon.

WBUK are also funded by Constantine Cannon and Navex Global.

Quote One.

"I always start by saying thank you very much to Kent police, without whom I would not have the pleasure of meeting you all today"

My Response.

Firstly, this clearly implies that Kent Police were actively involved in Halford Halls case and that this is the case that qualifies her as a whistle-blower. This is completely untrue, there were a number of genuine whistle-blowers who worked at this school, who took all the risks and suffered all the long term detriment in passing information to a parent of a child at the school: that parent was Halford Hall who subsequently refers to herself as the whistle-blower. The story appeared in a national paper and I see very little reference to the genuine whistle-blowers indeed it is quite clear who is grasping the limelight here.

<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/uknews/law-and-order/11702655/My-fear-of-jail-for-being-a-whistle-blower.html>

Doing your duty as a parent is not whistle-blowing, Halford Hall was charged with handling stolen documents, documents leaked to her by genuine whistle-blowers. The above story is of course typical of WBUKs grasp of the real issues, but it demonstrates a total lack of respect for the genuine whistle-blowers involved in order to push her personal ambition for status and such disregard is very worrying in an organisation that is alleged to be supporting whistle-blowers.

Quote Two

"Now I am influencing and helping make laws"

My Response

This is my concern about this organisation, they have always been pro bounty hunting (*incorrectly termed whistleblowing by some US law firms) this is not whistle-blowing of course, it could not be further from it. The WBUK website has always called for the US office for the whistle-blower system.

This system is failing to protect genuine whistle-blowers across the US. The kind of disclosures that such law firms are interested in are those that involve financial wrongdoing and only if such

wrongdoing is convenient to government, big bounty is paid on such financial information to both law firms and Bounty hunters such as Bradley Birkenfield.

The office for the whistle-blower system then throws a few left-over crumbs from the bounty hunters' banquet, to the genuine whistle-blowers but only if their lucky. Far too many US whistle-blowers have contacted us with their experiences of injustice. There are staff who have blown the whistle on their employer: The employer was, The office for the whistle-blower.

Quotes three and four.

"There Is to be a demonstration over there (The House of Commons) so I am glad to be over here" (Presumably Portcullis House)

"I have amendments to still make to the members speeches"

My Response.

When did paid lobbyists with anti-public interest agendas get to bypass our democracy and put their words into the mouths of those who should be representing their constituents?

I am not saying that the agendas of all APPGs are dodgy I am sure most are doing nothing wrong, but it is clearly a system that can be misused.

Is the demonstration referred to connected to the debate? It's not clear.

Quote 5

"Who in the room has a company whistle-blowing policy?"

My response

Who in the room has a box of matches? Would complete the question above. We have endured 20 years of

relying on whistleblowing policies to protect whistle-blowers: this is long enough to prove they do not work. The only winners in promoting whistleblowing policies, are those who sell such compliance policies, who just so happen to be the funders of the WBUK scam.

Quote Six

"In 2017 I got invited to the BEIS Department by 47 heads about how to look after whistle-blowers"

My Response.

Why on earth would anyone ask this woman about how to look after whistle-blowers? This woman is the last person you would ask. I am writing to BEIS lodging a complaint about this. Allowing scammers to represent whistle blowers is an outrageous harm.

Quote Seven

"A lot of my best Friends Are Lawyers" Hugs Mary Inman" talks generally about current law

My Response

I bet they are. Halford Hall then goes on to imply PIDA led the world in whistle-blower protection, it has never worked. She never mentions the fact that 90% of whistle-blowers can not even access the law and she is quite happy unlike us, to let 20 years of injustice stand.

She goes on about tribunals being a lottery, they are not they are a dead cert to fail.

Quote Eight

"Nothing surprised my lawyers more than when I won"

My Response

Won what? There is no record of Georgina Halford Hall being in an employment case, what is she referring to?

The issue clearly makes her uncomfortable, and she is so vague. I have never known a whistle-blower not to name their employer at every opportunity. There could not possibly be a gag as Halford Hall is saying she won. Besides gags are not legally enforceable in whistle-blowing cases everyone knows that.

Quotes Nine and Ten

"We have been able to help lots of whistle-blowers resolve their cases"

"we have won two landmark cases"

"We have now gathered nearly 500 pieces of evidence from whistle-blowers with financial services being the second highest categories"

My Response

There is scant evidence on the WBUK website or twitter of these "lots of whistle-blowers" all the evidence is via the APPG and a lot of that is highly questionable. *See my Earlier reports on this links page 7.

There is only one way that the Financial sector could be second highest category, that is if private social care and other whistle-blowers were of no interest to this APPG.

We have received significant numbers of complaints about WBUK not supporting whistle blowers who contact them, in some cases not even replying to people who contact them. There has always been evidence that WBUK is only interested in financial sector whistle-

blowers, because that is the area where lucrative profits are to be made and they are cherry picking cases to suit their agenda. We are now also aware that whistle-blowers have been blocked from giving evidence to the APPG.

The landmark cases would only appear to be average cases but managed to win with PIDA. One of these is the Bangere case, in which WBUK told the media they cared so much about the case that they were acting for free. Whilst attempting to claim costs in this same tribunal case, a claim which had to be hurriedly withdrawn after the judge questioned the legality of WBUKs actions in trying to claim. See my earlier reports links on page 7.

Are WBUK Only interested in financial sector whistleblowing and pro bounty?

Quote Eleven

"lots of people came up with the idea of compensation, my goodness the single biggest contentious area that we have had to face from MPs and the public and whistle-blowers* and other groups it is not British*, I'd say put yourself in the position of a whistle blower facing being a litigant in person against some of the toughest legal brains in the country, who are being employed by the **Banks**"*

My Response

I am Irish so saying it's not British is wasted on me, what it is though is simply NOT whistleblowing. Its not compensation its bounty money.

We want whistle-blowers to be fairly compensated for the detriment they have suffered that's completely different to bounty. Which amounts to how much is it worth to give you this information?

Halford hall says it's the most contentious issue with groups in which she includes incredibly "Whistle-blowers" was this APPG not supposed to be taking the views of whistle-blowers into account? Or

is it only some whistle-blowers specially cherry picked whose views are wanted by WBUK and the APPG?

Here we see the absolute proof of this immoral agenda exposed for what it is: a mission to protect financial whistle-blowers at the cost of everyone else and to make whistleblowing a filthy word in the process by adopting the US bounty hunting bonanza.

The above statement clearly refers to banks because that's all this group is interested in.

Quote Twelve

"We have been speaking to regulators, laughs I spend so much time at the FSA (Financial Services Authority) That Andrew Baily jokes I may as well move in"

My response

It does leave little for the many other regulators but its clear and always had been clear what WBUK and this APPG are interested in.

In the last month Georgina Halford Hall, Mary Inman of Constantine Cannon, Stephen Kerr MP and various other accomplices attended the Cambridge Economic Crime symposium and one of the sessions organised by Halford Hall was intitled "incentivising Whistle-blowing" and the panel members included the bounty Hunter, Bradley Birkenfield.

I could say much more but have other demands on my time and have clearly proven the case beyond doubt. There needs to be a full inquiry into this, and the fact that whistle blowers have been blocked in giving evidence when that evidence does not suit the agenda of this group should surely be of concern to at least some of parliament.

On a final note, do we need the whistle-blower system of a country that has jailed one of the most courageous whistle-blowers in history #ChelseaManning not only once but twice, has driven others into exile

and is demanding the extradition of the courageous journalist #JuliannAssange for the crime of telling the truth. The US is the land where the brave are not free, and I suggest Mary Inman and co go and put their own country in order instead of trying to bypass UK democracy with shady lobbying tactics.

Eileen Chubb

Links

<https://twitter.com/CompassnInCare/status/1173609741559521285>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QoY6FEEeTNI>

<https://compassionincare.com/scandal-behind-uk-whistle-blowing-law>

<https://compassionincare.com/response-appg-whistle-blowing-debate>

<https://compassionincare.com/whistle-blowing-appg-press-release>