

Open Letter
To Whom It **Should** Concern

By Eileen Chubb@
14/9/2020

Firstly, I ask what protection Parliament gives to any individual or organisation such as charity, wishing to disclose public interest information to Parliament as a witness, what systems are in place to protect said witnesses from harassment, malicious allegations or other detriment as a result of disclosing said information?

I ask for the following information as an FOI request,

Regarding Sir Norman Lambs public resignation citing the lack of transparency of WBUK,

FOI Section One

- . A copy of the APPG on Whistleblowing investigation report into Sir Norman Lambs Concerns.
- . A copy of any response sent to Sir Norman Lamb by the APPG.
- . Full copies of all APPG on whistleblowing minutes

FOI Section Two

Regarding the concerns raised in [The Misconduct of WBUK Part 1](#)

- . A copy of the investigation report by the APPG into the concerns raised.
- . Who conducted the investigation?

- . What methodology was used?
- . A list of the witnesses interviewed.
- . The length of time spent on the investigation.

FOI Section Three

Regarding the concerns raised in [The Naked Truth](#)

- . A copy of the investigation report
- . Who conducted the investigation?
- . What Methodology was used?
- . A list of all witnesses interviewed
- . The length of time spent on the investigation.

If unable to provide any of the above due to no investigations having taken place into the serious concerns and comprehensive evidence submitted, then provide written confirmation that all these serious concerns were **dismissed** without any investigation having taken place at all.

I ask what position the APPG on whistleblowing takes on the issue of employers, who when informed of serious concerns by a whistleblower fails to investigate those concerns and who just dismisses the concerns out of hand?

I have up to this point been polite, reasonable, supplied comprehensive evidence, have repeatedly raised the issues, this approach has not only failed but this charity and those we help have suffered as a result of malicious, unfounded allegations being made by WBUK, Halford Hall and associates. Is this acceptable?

What is the difference between a whistleblower being targeted for making public interest disclosures and a charity being targeted for making public interest disclosures?

This malicious attack by WBUK and Halford Hall would be bad enough at any time but in the middle of a pandemic whilst we are supporting 300 plus vulnerable Whistleblowers it is heartless, perverse and completely malicious.

The false allegations made to the ICO were not investigated due to a complete lack of evidence or grain of truth in them. The same allegations were then repeated to the Charity Commission, who we accept must investigate but which has caused 70 plus hours of work for us and wasted resources of all concerned, at a time of huge demand on our helpline.

Due to the statement tweeted by WBUK we have reason to believe that WBUK Halford-Hall has Mis-used her Parliamentary position to make completely false allegations and cause possible bias and injustice to a charity that has done nothing wrong at all. Furthermore, the motivation for the malicious campaign is driven by the fact we raised genuine concerns.

The work of this charity can be viewed on our website www.compassionincare.com please compare our content with that of WBUK and you will see why we are such a threat to the WBUK agenda.

As we have a reasonable belief that WBUK have misused their public office we ask for all emails and all correspondence between the ICO and the Charity Commission in relation to both Eileen Chubb, Compassion in Care and the Whistler.

I have no intention of cajoling MPs into acting on something that should have been acted on long ago.

The concerns I raise are so serious that I fear for the lives of Whistleblowers coming into contact with WBUK.

This situation has already gone way beyond simply removing WBUK there must be a full and credible inquiry into this including all those who aided and abetted this scandal. There must be accountability and transparency.

For this reason, I am referring this matter to, The Committee for Standards in Public Life, my MP, the Freedom of Information office at Parliament and publishing this also.

Yours Sincerely

Eileen Chubb