From: Compassion In Care
To: standards@parliament.uk
Subject: Evidence re APPG inquiry
Date: 22 September 2021 13:03:00
Attachments: image001.png
Private Eye Charity Commission 1st 2 storys.pdf
private eye 3 charity comm.pdf

Dear Sir,
We are submitting this evidence to your inquiry into APPGs, we ask this correspondence and the attached supplementary evidence is published in full. We will also publish this on our website as is our policy. We understand that your inquiry has concentrated on certain aspects of the APPG rules, the evidence we submit shows not only the loopholes that exist, but the extent of the damage that can be caused to not only the public, but to those affected by the very issues, an APPG was set up to allegedly serve in the first place. Our evidence deals with the APPG on whistleblowing and all those connected with it from the day it was formed. This charity has a formal policy that we can never act as a secretariate of any APPG for good reason.

We are a charity that aims to stop elderly abuse and our work includes a national helpline for whistleblowers in care settings and the families of abuse victims, we have supported over 9k whistleblowers and nearly 6k families to date and have published comprehensive evidence on these issues, see our website www.compassionincare.com for full details. The charity is run with no paid staff, our trustees are whistleblowers Eileen Chubb and Maggie Roffy (BUPA 7 the first UK whistleblowing PIDA case) Karis Le Winton (Panorama The Old deanery) Stephen Honour (whose loved one was abused in a care home) Eileen Chubb also co-founded The whistler.

This charity is blocked by the APPG on whistleblowing for raising valid concerns about this APPGs agenda, funding and the fact that its secretariate WBUK are running a sham whistleblowing support organisation see https://compassionincare.com/misconduct-wbuk which are signed witness statements from those who came into contact with WBUK as a helpline caller or as a volunteer. Our helpline continued to receive a high volume of calls raising concerns about WBUK, desperate callers who were seeing vulnerable people abused, had whistle blown internally and needed support as the abuse was continuing, these callers were either not contacted back at all, or were contacted and believed they would get help until they were asked for one hundred pounds an hour plus a lump sum. All of this evidence was sent to all members of the APPG on whistleblowing who failed to even respond. We provided the APPG with copies of the WBUK contract which is not on their website as it would show they were in fact a case management business masquerading as a support organisation.

We also published https://compassionincare.com/naked-truth and this was also sent to the APPG with the same lack of response. We have published comprehensive evidence that the Secretariate

WBUK was cherry picking cases that fitted the agenda of this APPG and its funders, Constantine Cannon, Pro bounty US lawyers and Navex Global Compliance company, WBUK have also stated they have been funded by both these organisations but that funding and other backers have not shown up in their accounts, see the independent investigation of this APPG and WBUK here https://compassionincare.com/truth-defence-report-wbuk Most honest people would publicly respond to such evidence if they were innocent but WBUK and the APPG on whistleblowing decline to comment at all.

All of this evidence was sent to APPG members who never responded. The CEO of WBUK Georgina Halford Hall states she was a CEO of a charity and whistleblower, both untrue as the work of this charity and the Whistler have proven. WBUK have ignored calls from any whistleblower not likely to be highly paid enough to make a profit from, including care workers trying to stop abuse of vulnerable people, Abuse that has continued whilst these whistleblowers waited in vain for support. Among those failed are whistleblowers at high risk of suicide. We cannot stress enough the harm that has been caused to the very group of people this APPG alleges to champion. Please look at the actual content on the WBUK website and compare this with the content on our website.
The office for the whistleblower was the aim of WBUK the APPG and its funders from day one, it was on their website years before the APPG was formed.. They have misled the press, MPs and the public into believing Office for The Whistleblower was the result of gathering evidence.

None of the above scandalous immoral actions are against the rules on APPGs and we have this in writing. As a result of raising valid evidenced concerns (perversely just like in whistleblowing) about this APPG, its secretariate, funders and agenda we have been targeted with a campaign of malicious allegations to the ICO, police and Charity Commission, including targeting our volunteers. This targeting of an individual with a smear campaign is exactly what happens to whistleblowers who raise valid concerns.

The secretariate of this APPG Mis-used their parliamentary position and email to influence regulators to the extent they are subsequently proven to have conducted biased investigations, please see attached copies of private eye. (We are happy to provide the overwhelming evidence regarding the Charity Commission) and the abuse of power that has taken place by all those concerned. As our evidence is robust we fought back and now a police investigation into the Charity Commissions conduct in relation to malfeasance in a public office is underway. We can prove that WBUK instigated a malicious campaign in order to silence a charity that challenged their misconduct and the misconduct of the APPG on whistleblowing. They have attempted to stop our campaign for Edna's Law and to suppress the book " there is no me in whistleblower" which details why Edna's Law would protect whistleblowers and which would take the profits from compliance and legal industry friendly law. The APPG were fully aware of what WBUK were doing and endorsed their actions.
We are the largest group of organised whistleblowers in the UK and we have been targeted exactly because we have raised valid concerns about this APPG. WBUK who have misused their parliamentary pass, to provide MPs with their tainted training on whistleblowing to the extent they have been misled about office for the whistleblower. WBUKs conduct, funding and agenda was fully known and shared by this APPG.
None of these things are against the rules, we have previously attempted to get the parliamentary commissioner for standards to act, who presented us with a list of inconsequential APPG rules and asked which applied? In any other industry the conduct of this APPG, its secretariate and funders would be considered gross misconduct at best, even in the most immoral companies. But in parliament its has been accepted with complacency. With the exception of Sir Norman Lamb who resigned from this APPG over its secretariates lack of transparency and refusal to answer any questions. Please do not for a moment think that this is hearsay, all the evidence is attached . If you require more we are happy to provide or answer any questions you have, meanwhile we have two

questions for your inquiry, Is what we have experienced right? and what are you going to do about it?
Yours Sincerely
Eileen Chubb on behalf of the trustees and volunteers